"A Review Of Literature-Recent Advances In Local Anaesthesia "

Dr. Prashant Sundarrao Ghorpade.^{1*}, Dr. Sachinkumar M. Wagh.²

Abstract-

Even if local anaesthetic is still the mainstay of pain management in dentistry, research will keep striving for novel and effective pain management techniques. The majority of research efforts are focused on improving anaesthetic medications, delivery systems, and related methods. There are more recent technologies available that can assist the dentist in providing better pain relief with fewer unpleasant injections and fewer negative adverse effects. The purpose of the current review of the literature is to compile evidence that will convince dentists to employ modern local anaesthetics, as well as other methods and techniques to reduce patient discomfort while administering anaesthesia.

Keywords: pain management, local anaesthesia, recent trends, local anaesthesia, pain

INTRODUCTION-

Without the use of local anaesthetic, contemporary dentistry would be impossible. For the purpose of achieving local anaesthetic, the dentist has access to a variety of tools and techniques. It is paradoxical, nevertheless, that while local anaesthetic makes it possible for dental procedures to be done without feeling any pain, it also makes patients feel the most discomfort and fear [1].

In today's dentistry practice, pain management that is both safe and efficient is crucial. For the majority of clinical settings, our existing armamentaria for administering local anesthetic solutions to the maxilla and mandible are sufficient. For invasive dental procedures including cavity preparation, tooth preparation, scaling and root planing, surgical procedures, or essential pulp therapy, local anesthetics are employed. Local anesthetics are utilized for almost all dental procedures with the exception of examination, oral prophylaxis, and fluoride application, depending on the patient's tolerance for pain or level of fear [2].

Thus, numerous techniques have been advocated to diminish the pain during the administration of local anesthetic agents, and these include the application of different anesthetic gels [3], distraction techniques [4], warming the anesthetic agents [5], reducing the speed of injection [6], and buffering local anesthetic agents [7]. The current review of the literature's findings aims to compile solid data for dentists about the use of modern local anesthetics, alternative techniques, and

tactics to lessen the pain when administering anesthesia, thereby enhancing patient comfort.

MATERIALS AND METHODS-Anesthetic Potency

Local anaesthetics vary in their potency, allowing for concentrations that range typically from 0.5 to 4%. This is largely the result of differences in lipid solubility, which enhances diffusion through nerve sheaths and neural membranes. This property is determined by the aromatic ring and its substitutions, along with those added to the tertiary amine. For example, bupivacaine is more lipid soluble and potent than articaine, allowing it to be formulated as a 0.5% concentration (5 mg/mL) rather than a 4% concentration (40 mg/mL).

Time for Onset

Greater lipid solubility of a drug not only enhances potency but also enables more rapid diffusion through cell membranes. For local anaesthetics, this hastens the onset for anaesthesia in isolated fibers during in vitro studies, but it must be appreciated that other factors come into play clinically. For example, inherent vasodilating properties may promote systemic absorption before the anaesthetic reaches the nerve membrane. High lipid solubility may impede dispersion throughout tissue fluids and also fosters sequestration in neighbouring adipose tissues or myelin sheaths. In either case, fewer numbers of molecules reach the neuronal membrane and onset is delayed. Therefore, unlike in vitro studies of isolated fibers, greater lipid solubility generally slows the onset of anesthesia in the clinical setting. Injecting higher concentrations that allow a greater number of molecules to reach the membrane and hasten onset can offset this influence. Although bupivacaine and articaine are both highly

^{1*}[M.B.B.S ,M.D.(Anesthesiology- B. J. Medical College, Pune] ²[M.B.B.S ,M.D.(Anesthesiology, I.D.C.C.M)] Topiwala National Medical College, Central Mumbai]

lipid soluble, the 4% concentration of articaine provides for a much faster onset.

Despite myriad factors that influence the quantity of local anaesthetic reaching the nerve fibers, the most important factor that determines the onset of anaesthesia is the proportion of these molecules that exist in a lipid-soluble rather than a water-soluble state. The terminal amine illustrated in may exist in a tertiary form (3 bonds) that is lipid soluble, or as a quaternary form (4 bonds) that is positively charged and renders the molecule water soluble. For the local anaesthetic base to be stable in solution, it is formulated as a hydrochloride salt. As such, the molecules exist in a guaternary, water-soluble state at the time of injection and are unable to penetrate the neuron. Therefore the time for onset of local anaesthesia is directly related to the proportion of molecules that convert to the tertiary, lipid-soluble structure when exposed to physiologic pH (7.4). This proportion is determined by the ionization constant (pKa) for the anaesthetic and is calculated using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:

Recent advances in local anaesthetic drugs-

Articaine and centbucridine are two relatively recent medications that have been shown to be as effective as or perhaps more effective than lignocaine [8].

Mechanism of Action of Articaine-

Articaine is a local anesthetic that is a member of the amide family. It has an ester group that is processed by tissues' esterases and a thiophene ring in place of a benzene ring. Articaine has an exponential half-life and is eliminated over an extended period of time. Unidentified plasma esterases are mostly responsible for metabolism in the liver and plasma [8].

Articaine Versus Lignocaine-

Articaine has a faster onset of action and longer duration of action. Its success rate is greater. Articaine has more strong effects (1.5 times more potent) and has a lower level of systemic intoxication [8].

Adverse Effects of Articaine-

Similar to prilocaine, articaine has the potential to produce neuropathies and methemoglobinemia. Articaine and prilocaine have increased paresthesia incidence, mainly with the lingual nerve, indicating that they have a more neurotoxic effect than lidocaine. It has been observed that taking articaine, particularly for infraorbital nerve block, can cause eye problems. The enhanced drug diffusion across tissues, including bone, may be the cause of this [8].

Centbucridine

Centbucridine is a local anesthetic molecule that was created in 1983 at Lucknow, India's Centre for Drug Research. It functions as a local anaesthetic and is a quinolone derivative. It naturally contains antihistaminic and vasoconstricting effects. Centbucridine, which has an anaesthetic power 4-5 times larger than that of 2% lignocaine, can be used successfully for infiltration, nerve blocks, and spinal anesthesia at a concentration of 0.5% [9].

Although clinicians have strangely failed to capitalize on its advantages and also validate its use in the management of pain during dental procedures, this unique chemical has been extensively used in ophthalmology and other medical disciplines [8]. Centbucridine, according to Gune and Katre [9], is comparable to lignocaine and can be used as a substitute in cases of hypersensitivity in patients aged 12-14, as well as in cases of cardiac and thyroid diseases where these vasoconstrictors are prohibited.

Alternative dental anesthesia

These techniques do not substitute conventional dental anesthesia. These techniques are used as an adjunct to conventional anesthesia to reduce pain during the administration of local anesthetics.

Electric Dental anesthesia

It is a frequently employed non-pharmacological approach for treating both acute and ongoing pain. Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) uses an electrical current generated by a machine to stimulate nerves, mostly for remedial purposes. Because the equipment does not include any syringes, it instills positive behavior in kids and lessens their apprehension. Hence, pediatric patients can benefit from this method. It can also be equally helpful for adult patients to produce analgesia during various conditions such as placing rubber dams, preparing cavities, capping pulp, performing endodontic procedures, preparing prosthetic teeth, performing oral prophylaxis, and extractions, and also to lessen pain during local anesthetic injection [10].

Laser Analgesia

Low-level laser therapy (LLLT) is used in a nonthermogenic, noninvasive procedure to biomodulate the tooth pulp. Similar to infiltrative local anesthesia, LLLT does not induce profound anaesthesia or a total loss of sensation. The sodium-potassium (Na-K) pump is temporarily disrupted by the principle's modification of neuronal cell activity, which prevents impulse transmission and produces the analgesic effect [11]. Children and teens experience less anxiety as a result of accepting laser dental treatment [12]. The effectiveness of the neodymium-doped yttrium aluminum garnet (Nd:YAG) laser in inducing pulpal analgesia was confirmed by Chan et al. [13] to be comparable to that of 5% eutectic mixture of lidocaine 2.5% and prilocaine 2.5% (EMLA) anaesthetic cream. Chan et al. also proposed that laser therapy may be a

novel, non-invasive treatment option for children who are needle-phobic.

Virtual anesthesia

The most often used behavioural strategies for reducing dental anxiety are distraction tactics. Virtual reality (VR) equipment is currently a more entertaining type of diversion. Despite these drawbacks, numerous researchers have claimed that it lessens discomfort and enhances patient satisfaction during treatment [14]. A decrease in pain and anxiety during paediatric dental treatments was reported in clinical investigations on VR [15]. These findings suggest that VR can be utilized as a complementary technique for non-pharmacological analgesia. This is known as "virtual anesthesia" because of the analgesic potential of VR. According to Atzori et al. [16] and Nunna et al. [17], VR is an effective method for assisting kids in dealing with dental fillings and extractions in a way that is less stressful and more enjoyable than its alternative.

Cryo anaesthesia

This procedure involves cooling a constricted body area with ice or refrigerant sprays to prevent nerves from transmitting pain signals. Hence, the topical administration of cold would stimulate pain-inhibitory pain pathways and excite myelinated A-fibers. By reducing the threshold of tissue nociceptors and pain-carrying conduction nerve signals, cooling leads to neuropraxia [<u>18</u>].

According to Hindocha et al. [19], 5% lidocaine gel during needle insertion has the same effect as applying ice to the oral mucosa as a topical anesthetic prior to injection. After application, the topical anesthetic's effects persist for a few minutes [19]. Bose et al. [20] claimed that precooling the soft tissue area before routine dental operations decreases the pain perception for infiltrations and blocks anesthesia in youngsters. It is a simple, dependable, and economical technique. According to a comprehensive review by Tirupathi and Rajasekhar [21], precooling with ice before administering local anesthetic lowers pain more effectively than refrigerant spray [21].

Recent advances in local anaesthesia delivery devices The gate control hypothesis of pain management suggests that pain can be minimized by simultaneous activation of nerve fibers using vibration, and some of the more recent local anesthetic delivery devices intended to lessen needle phobia make use of this notion [22]. However, Inui et al. have shown that tactile-induced pain inhibition occurs without any input from the spinal level, including descending inhibitory actions on spinal neurons, and can result in pain reduction produced by non-noxious touch or vibration [23].

VibraJect

Recently, a vibrating dental local anaesthetic attachment (VibraJect) was unveiled. It sends a strong enough high-frequency vibration to the needle for the patient to sense [23]. On the basis of the gate-control theory, interference stimulation, such as vibration, can reduce pain, according to Kakigi and Watanabe [24]. Hutchins et al. claimed that the vibration may be useful in lessening injection pain [25]. Vibra Ject was suggested by Blair [26] as a painless injection method.

Dental Vibe

A recently developed device called Dental Vibe vibrates during the administration of dental injections to stimulate the mechanoreceptors and lessen pain. The tool delivers percussive micro-oscillations to the injection administration site through a U-shaped vibrating tip that is handheld and cordless [27]. Ungor et al. [28] examined how Dental Vibe affected adult patients' perceptions of pain and anxiety during local anaesthetic injections. They discovered that DentalVibe lessened discomfort without elevating anxiety during local anaesthetic injections.

Accupal

It is a cordless gadget that conditions the oral mucosa by using vibration and pressure together. The inventor of this tool is Michael Zweifler. Pressure is applied by Accupal, and it also vibrates the injection site 360 degrees around the point where the needle infiltrated and closed the "pain gate." The unit vibrates after being positioned at the injection site, and a light pressure is applied. The battery-powered motor is connected to the needle, which is positioned in a hole with a disposable tip head [29].

Buzzy System

The device is designed like a bee and has two components: detachable ice wings and body vibration. It functions according to the descending inhibitory controls and the gate control theory. More exactly, the vibration created by the device will obstruct the afferent pain-receptive fibers (A-delta and C fibers), which will reduce discomfort [30]. On the other hand, when administered close to the nociception location, continuous cold administration stimulates the C nociceptive fibers and suppresses the A-delta signals [31]. According to Suohu et al. [32], the Buzzy[®] System, which externally administers cold and vibration adjacent to the site of local anesthesia administration, can reduce children's pain and anxiety during the delivery of local anesthesia next to the tooth, which are indicated for invasive dental procedure.

Recent advances in local anesthesia delivery technique

Single Tooth anesthesia (STA)

This technique uses a 30-G needle that is extra-short and inserted into the gingival sulcus parallel to the tooth's long axis. With single- and multi-rooted teeth, the number of locations for providing anesthesia is one point (distal) versus two (distal and mesial)/three points, respectively. To induce sufficient anesthetic, the needle must be inserted into the tissue up until it reaches the periodontal ligament (PDL). Due to its penlike shape, Single Tooth anesthesia has the advantages of not causing anticipatory worry, not hurting, having no effects on the lips, tongue, or cheeks, and not damaging the permanent teeth's crown .STA is an efficient substitute for conventional treatments, according to Garret-Bernardin et al. , because it causes children less severe pain and distress.

Intranasal Tetracaine/Oxymetazoline Spray

Tetracaine is a water-soluble, long-lasting ester local anesthetic that, when given topically, has 5-8 times the potency of cocaine [1]. It is metabolized in plasma by plasma pseudocholinesterase, and a concentration of 0.15% and 2% are utilized as injection and topical application, respectively . Before surgical and exploratory procedures, it is utilized as a nasopharyngeal and nasal anesthetic drug, A third spray was also given if necessary after the first two 0.2 mL were sprayed into the ipsilateral nostril at a fourminute interval. With a 95% confidence interval, pulpal anesthesia had an overall success rate of 88% while performing restorative procedures on maxillary incisors, canines, and premolars.

Conclusions

Local analgesia is a secure and reliable pain management technique. One of the foundational tenets of contemporary dentistry practice is its application. Traditional methods for administering local anesthetics no longer seem to be as effective as modern approaches. These more modern methods are being promoted for their benefits and have a broad range of potential applications in dentistry. Modern techniques for providing local anaesthetics efficiently and painlessly make the process more enjoyable for the dentist and the patient, which has a good impact on establishing a strong patient-dentist bond.

REFERANCES-

1.Handbook of local anesthesia, 5th edition. Rosenberg

M. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/</u>

<u>PMC2526219/</u> Anesth Prog. 2005;52:39– 40. [Google Scholar]

2.An alternative local anaesthesia technique to reduce pain in paediatric patients during needle insertion.

Lee SH, Lee NY. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23758459/</u> E ur J Paediatr Dent. 2013;14:109–112. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- 3.Clinical evaluation and comparison of 2 topical anesthetics for pain caused by needle sticks and scaling and root planing. Carr MP, Horton JE. J Periodontol. 2001;72:479–484. doi: 10.1902/jop.2001.72.4.479. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 4.Pain and anxiety reduction using a manual stimulation distraction device when administering local analgesia oro-dental injections: a multi-center clinical investigation. Touyz LZ, Lamontagne P, Smith

BE. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15688965/</u> J Clin Dent. 2004;15:88–92. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

5.The effect of warming local anaesthetic solutions prior to injection. Rogers KB, Fielding AF, Markiewicz SW. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2639844/</u> G en Dent. 1989;37:496–499. [PubMed] [Google]

en Dent. 1989;37:496–499. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- 6.Preliminary study of patient reaction to needle gauge. Hamburg HL. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/4505144/</u> N
 - Y State Dent J. 1972;38:425–426. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 7.Alternative local anaesthetic delivery systems, devices and aids designed to minimise painful injections - a review. Chong BS, Miller JE, Sidhu SK. <u>http://qmro.qmul.ac.uk/xmlui/handle/123456</u> 789/19214 ENDO. 2014;8:7–22. [Google Scholar]
- 8.Newer local anesthetic drugs in dentistry. Poojashree B, Santhosh Kumar

 MP. https://www.researchgate.net

 /publication/32555353
 Newer_local_anesthetic_

 drugs in dentistry
 Drug
 Invent

 Today.

2018;10:496–502. [<u>Google Scholar</u>]

- 9.Comparison of 0.5% centbucridine and 2% lignocaine as local anesthetic agents for dental procedures in children: a randomised controlled trial. Gune NS, Katre AN. Indian J Pediatr. 2020;87:268–274. doi: 10.1007/s12098-019-03161-6. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 10.Delivery systems of local anesthetics in dentistry: an update. Thilak N. <u>https://actascientific.com/ASDS/pdf/ASDS-04-</u> <u>0829.pdf</u> Acta Sci Dent Sci. 2020;4:23–27. [Google <u>Scholar</u>]
- 11.Laser analgesia with pulsed infrared lasers: theory and practice. Walsh

LJ. <u>https://www.researchgate.net</u> /publication/43496636 Laser Analgesia with Pul sed Infrared Lasers Theory and Practice J Oral Laser Appl. 2008;8:7–16. [Google Scholar]

- 12.Laser in paediatric dentistry: patient acceptance of hard and soft tissue therapy. Genovese MD, Olivi G. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18380525/</u> Eu r J Paediatr Dent. 2008;9:13–17. [PubMed] [Google <u>Scholar</u>]
- 13.Pulsed Nd: YAG laser induces pulpal analgesia: a randomized clinical trial. Chan A, Armati P, Moorthy AP. J Dent Res. 2012;91:79–84. doi: 10.1177/0022034512447947. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 14.Effectiveness of two topical anaesthetic agents used along with audio visual aids in paediatric dental patients. Agarwal N, Dhawan J, Kumar D, Anand A, Tangri K. J Clin Diagn Res. 2017;11:0–3. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2017/23180.9217. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 15.Effect of audiovisual distraction with 3D video glasses on dental anxiety of children experiencing administration of local analgesia: a randomised clinical trial. Nuvvula S, Alahari S, Kamatham R, Challa RR. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent. 2015;16:43–50. doi: 10.1007/s40368-014-0145-9. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 16.Virtual reality analgesia for pediatric dental patients. Atzori B, Lauro Grotto R, Giugni A, Calabrò M, Alhalabi W, Hoffman HG. Front Psychol. 2018;9:2265. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02265. [DOI]
 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 17.Comparative evaluation of virtual reality distraction and counter-stimulation on dental anxiety and pain perception in children. Nunna M, Dasaraju RK, Kamatham R, Mallineni SK, Nuvvula S. J Dent Anesth Pain Med. 2019;19:277–288. doi: 10.17245/jdapm.2019.19.5.277. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 18.Local anesthesia in pediatric dentistry: a literature review on current alternative techniques and approaches. Elicherla SR, Sahithi V, Saikiran KV, Nunna M, Challa RR, Nuvvula S. J South Asian Assoc Pediatr Dent. 2021;4:148–154. [Google Scholar]
- 19. Ice versus lidocaine 5% gel for topical anaesthesia of oral mucosa - a randomized cross-over study. Hindocha N, Manhem F, Bäckryd E, Bågesund M. BMC Anesthesiol. 2019;19:227. doi: 10.1186/s12871-019-0902-8. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 20.Cooling the soft tissue and its effect on perception of pain during infiltration and block anesthesia in children undergoing dental procedures: a comparative study. Bose S, Garg N, Pathivada L, Yeluri R. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2019;13:159–165. doi: 10.15171/joddd.2019.025. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 21.Effect of precooling on pain during local anesthesia administration in children: a systematic review. Tirupathi SP, Rajasekhar S. J Dent Anesth Pain Med.

2020;20:119–127.

10.17245/jdapm.2020.20.3.119. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

doi:

- 22.Temporal analysis of cortical mechanisms for pain relief by tactile stimuli in humans. Inui K, Tsuji T, Kakigi R. Cereb Cortex. 2006;16:355–365. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhi114. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 23.Advances in local anesthesia in dentistry. Ogle OE, Mahjoubi G. Dent Clin North Am. 2011;55:481–499. doi: 10.1016/j.cden.2011.02.007. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 24.Pain relief by various kinds of interference stimulation applied to the peripheral skin in humans: pain-related brain potentials following CO2 laser stimulation. Kakigi R, Watanabe S. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10970109/</u> J Peripher Nerv Syst. 1996;1:189–198. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 25.The effectiveness of topical anesthesia and vibration in alleviating the pain of oral injections. Hutchins HS Jr, Young FA, Lackland DT, Fishburne CP. <u>https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/P</u> <u>MC2148927/pdf/anesthprog00235-</u> <u>0011.pdf/?tool=EBI</u>. Anesth Prog. 1997;44:87– 89. [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 26.Vibraject from ITL Dental. Blair J. Dent Econ. 2002;92:90. [Google Scholar]
- 27.Effect of the DentalVibe injection system on pain during local anesthesia injections in adolescent patients. Ching D, Finkelman M, Loo CY. <u>https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24717710/</u> P ediatr Dent. 2014;36:51–55. [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 28.The effects of vibration on pain and anxiety during local anesthesia administration. Ungor C, Tosun E, Dayisoylu EH, Taskesen F, Senel FC. <u>https://www.dentalvibe.com/wpcontent/uploads/2016/08/turkish-study.pdf</u> JSM Dent. 2014;2:1022. [Google Scholar]
- 29.Advances in dental local anesthesia techniques and devices: an update. Saxena P, Gupta SK, Newaskar V, Chandra A. Natl J Maxillofac Surg. 2013;4:19–24. doi: 10.4103/0975-5950.117873. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 30.Mechanisms of pain relief by vibration and movement. Kakigi R, Shibasaki H. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 1992;55:282–286. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.55.4.282. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 31.The effect of pre-cooling versus topical anesthesia on pain perception during palatal injections in children aged 7-9 years: a randomized split-mouth crossover clinical trial. Chilakamuri S, Svsg N, Nuvvula S. J Dent Anesth Pain Med. 2020;20:377–386. doi: 10.17245/jdapm.2020.20.6.377. [DOI]
 [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]

- 32.A comparative evaluation of pain perception and comfort of a patient using conventional syringe and buzzy system. Suohu T, Sharma S, Marwah N, Mishra P. Int J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2020;13:27–30. doi: 10.5005/jp-journals-10005-1731. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 33.Single tooth anaesthesia: a new approach to the paediatric patient. A clinical experimental study. Giannetti L, Forabosco E, Spinas E, Re D, Murri Dello Diago A. Eur J Paediatr Dent. 2018;19:40–43. doi: 10.23804/ejpd.2018.19.01.07. [DOI] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 34.Pain experience and behavior management in pediatric dentistry: a comparison between traditional local anesthesia and the wand computerized delivery system. Garret-Bernardin A, Cantile T, D'Antò V, et al. Pain Res Manag. 2017;2017:7941238. doi: 10.1155/2017/7941238. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]
- 35.Three newly approved analgesics: an update. Saraghi M, Hersh EV. Anesth Prog. 2013;60:178– 187. doi: 10.2344/0003-3006-60.4.178. [DOI] [PMC free article] [PubMed] [Google Scholar]