Summability Classes of A^s-Sequences of Interval Numbers

Swapnajyoti Sarma^{1*}, Dhanjit Talukdar², Manmohan Das³

¹ Department of Physics, Bajali College, Pathsala, E-Mail: swapnajyoti@gmail.com	
² Department of Physics, Bajali College, Pathsala, E-Mail: tdhanjit@gmail.com,	
³ Department of Mathematics, Bajali College, Pathsala, E-mail: mdas.bajali@gmail.com	

Abstract: In this article we introduce and study the notions generalized difference lacunary strongly summable,

Cesàro strongly summable, - statistically convergent and Δ^s -lacunary statistically convergent sequence of interval numbers. Consequently we construct the sequence classes $\ell^i_{\ \theta}(\Delta^s), \sigma^i_1(\Delta^s), s^i(\Delta^s)$ and $s^i_{\ \theta}(\Delta^s)$ respectively and investigate the relationship among these classes.

Keywords: Sequence of interval numbers; Difference sequence; lacunary strongly summable; Cesàro strongly summable; statistically convergent; lacunary statistically convergent; Completeness.

1. Introduction

The concept of interval arithmetic was first suggested by Dwyer [1] in 1951. After developed by Moore [10], Moore and Yang [13]. Furthermore several authors have studied various aspects of the theory and applications of interval numbers in differential equations [13], [14], [15]. The sequence of interval numbers was first introduced by Chiao [20] and defined usual convergence. Bounded and convergence sequences spaces of interval numbers were introduced by Sengonul and Eryilmaz [18] and showed that these spaces are complete metric space. A set consisting of closed interval of real numbers \mathcal{X} such

that $a \le x \le b$ is called an interval number. A real interval can also be considered as a set. Denote the set of all real valued closed intervals by \Box . Any member of \Box

is called closed interval and denoted by \overline{x} . Thus $\overline{x} = \{x \in \Box : a \le x \le b\}$. In [20], an interval number is closed subset of real line \Box .

Let $\overset{X_l}{\xrightarrow{}}$ and $\overset{X_r}{\xrightarrow{}}$ be the first and last points of the interval number $\overset{-}{x}$ respectively. For $\overset{-}{x_1}$, $\overset{-}{x_2} \in \Box$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{x}_{1} &= x_{2} \Leftrightarrow x_{\mathbf{l}_{l}} = x_{2_{l}}, x_{\mathbf{l}_{r}} = x_{2_{r}}, \\ \overline{x}_{1} &= \overline{x}_{2} = \left\{ x \in \Box : x_{\mathbf{l}_{l}} + x_{2_{l}} \leq x \leq x_{\mathbf{l}_{r}} + x_{2_{r}} \right\} \\ \alpha \overline{x} &= \left\{ x \in \Box : \alpha x_{\mathbf{l}_{l}} \leq x \leq \alpha x_{\mathbf{l}_{r}} \right\}_{\text{if } \alpha} \geq 0. \\ &= \left\{ x \in \Box : \alpha x_{\mathbf{l}_{r}} \leq x \leq \alpha x_{\mathbf{l}_{l}} \right\}_{\text{if } \alpha} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

The set of

and $\frac{1}{x_1 \cdot x_2} = \left\{ x \in \Box : \min\left(x_{1_l} \cdot x_{2_l}, x_{1_l} \cdot x_{2_r}, x_{1_r} \cdot x_{2_l}, x_{1_r} \cdot x_{2_r}\right) \le x \le \max\left(x_{1_l} \cdot x_{2_l}, x_{1_l} \cdot x_{2_r}, x_{1_r} \cdot x_{2_r}, x_{1_r} \cdot x_{2_r}\right) \right\}$

all interval numbers
$$\Box$$
 is complete metric space under the metric defined by –
 $d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \max\left\{ |x_{1_{l}} - x_{2_{l}}|, |x_{1_{r}} - x_{2_{r}}| \right\}$ (see [18]).

Let us consider the transformation $f:\Box \to \Box$ by $k \to f(k) = \overline{x}$ where $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k)$ which is known as sequence of interval numbers. \overline{x}_k denotes the k^{th} term of the sequence $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k)$. The set of all sequences of interval numbers is denoted by W^i can be found in [18].

2. Definitions and Main Results

Throughout the article we denote by W' the set of all sequences $\overline{x} = (\overline{x}_k)$ of interval numbers.

sequences () of interval numbers. By a lacunary sequence $\vartheta = (k_p)$; p = 1, 2, 3..., where $k_o = 0$, we mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with $h_p = (k_p - k_{p-1}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. We denote l_p

= $(k_{p-1}, k_p]$ for p = 1, 2, 3 ...Let r and s be two non-negative integers and $v = (v_k)$ be a sequence of non-zero reals. Then for a lacunary sequence ϑ we define:

$$\ell_{\theta}^{i}\left(\Delta^{s}\right)_{=}\left\{\overline{x}=(\overline{x}_{k})\in w^{i}:\lim_{p\to\infty}\frac{1}{h_{p}}\sum_{k\in I_{p}}d\left(\Delta^{s}\overline{x}_{k},\overline{x}_{0}\right)=0, \text{ for some } \overline{x}_{0}\right\},\$$

Where $\left(\Delta^{s} \overline{x}_{k}\right)_{=} \left(\Delta^{s-1} \overline{x}_{k} - \Delta^{s-1} \overline{x}_{k-1}\right)_{\text{and}} \Delta^{0} \overline{x}_{k} = \overline{x}_{k}$ for all $k \in N$, which is equivalent to the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta^s \overline{x}_k = \sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i {s \choose i} v_{k-i} \overline{x}_{k-i}.$$

In this expansion it is important to note that we take $v_{k-i} = 0$ and $\overline{x}_{k-i} = \overline{0}$ for non-positive values of *k*-*i*. If $\overline{x} \in \ell^i_{\theta}(\Delta^s)$, then we says that \overline{x} is Δ^s -lacunary strongly summable sequence of interval numbers.

A sequence
$$\overline{x} = (\overline{x_k}) \in w^i$$
 is said to be Δ^s - Cesàro strongly summable if $\overline{x} \in \sigma_1^i(\Delta^s)$, where $\sigma_1^i(\Delta^s)_{=} \left\{ \overline{x} \in w^i : \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n d(\Delta^s \overline{x_k}, \overline{x_0}) = 0, \text{ for some } \overline{x_0} \right\}$

A sequence
$$\overline{x} = (\overline{x_k}) \in w^i$$
 is said to be Δ^s - statistically convergent if $\overline{x} \in S^i(\Delta^s)$, where
 $S^i(\Delta^s)_{=} \left\{ \overline{x} \in w^i : \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{card} \left\{ k \le n : d(\Delta^s \overline{x_k}, \overline{x_0}) \ge \varepsilon \right\} = 0$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $\overline{x_0} \right\}$
A sequence $\overline{x} = (\overline{x_k}) \in w^i$ is said to be Δ^s -lacunary statistically convergent if $\overline{x} \in S^i_{\theta}(\Delta^s)$, where
 $S^i_{\theta}(\Delta^s)_{=}$
 $\left\{ \overline{x} \in w^i : \lim_p \frac{1}{h_p} \operatorname{card} \left\{ k \in I_p : d(\Delta^s \overline{x_k}, \overline{x_0}) \ge \varepsilon \right\} = 0$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and some $\overline{x_0} \right\}$
In this case we write $s_{\theta} - \lim \overline{x_k} = \overline{x_0}$

Theorem 2.1: Let $\overline{x} = (\overline{x_k})_{and} \overline{y} = (\overline{y_k})_{be sequences of interval numbers, then-}$ (1) If $S_{\theta}^i - \lim \Delta^s \overline{x_k} = \overline{x_0}_{and} \alpha \in R$ then $S_{\theta}^i - \lim \Delta^s \alpha \overline{x_k} = \alpha \overline{x_0}_{and}$. (2) If $S_{\theta}^i - \lim \Delta^s \overline{x_k} = \overline{x_0}_{and} S_{\theta}^i - \lim \Delta^s \overline{y_k} = \overline{y_0}_{, \text{ then }} S_{\theta}^i - \lim (\Delta^s \overline{x_k} + \Delta^s \overline{y_k}) = \overline{x_0} + \overline{y_0}_{and}$

Proof:

(1) Let
$$\alpha \in R$$
. We have, $d(\Delta^s \alpha \overline{x}_k, \alpha \overline{x}_0) = |\alpha| d(\Delta^s \overline{x}_k, \overline{x}_0)$.

For any $\mathcal{E} > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{h_{p}}\operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{p}: d(\Delta^{s}\alpha \overline{x}_{k}, \alpha \overline{x}_{0}) \geq \varepsilon\right\} \leq \frac{1}{h_{p}}\operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{p}: d(\Delta^{s} \overline{x}_{k}, \overline{x}_{0}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{|\alpha|}\right\}_{\operatorname{Hence}}$$

$$s_{\theta} - \lim \Delta^{s}_{(v,r)} \alpha \overline{x}_{k} = \alpha \overline{x}_{0}$$
(2) Suppose $S_{\theta}^{i} - \lim \Delta^{s} \overline{x}_{k} = \overline{x}_{0}$ and $S_{\theta}^{i} - \lim \Delta^{s} \overline{y}_{k} = \overline{y}_{0}$

(2) Supp We have,

$$d(\Delta^s \overline{x}_k + \Delta^s \overline{y}_k, \overline{x}_0 + \overline{y}_0) \le d(\Delta^s \overline{x}_k, \overline{x}_0) + d(\Delta^s \overline{y}_k, \overline{y}_0)$$

So, for any given $\mathcal{E} > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{h_{p}}\operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{p}: d(\Delta^{s}\overline{x_{k}} + \Delta^{s}\overline{y_{k}}, \overline{x_{0}} + \overline{y_{0}}) \geq \varepsilon\right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{h_{p}}\operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{p}: d(\Delta^{s}\overline{x_{k}}, \overline{x_{0}}) + d(\Delta^{s}\overline{y_{k}}, \overline{y_{0}}) \geq \varepsilon\right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{h_{p}}\operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{p}: d(\Delta^{s}\overline{x_{k}}, \overline{x_{0}}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{h_{p}}\operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{p}: d(\Delta^{s}\overline{y_{k}}, \overline{y_{0}}) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right\}$$

Theorem 2.2. Let θ be a lacunary sequence. Then if a sequence $\overline{x} = (\overline{x_k})$ of interval numbers is Δ^s -lacunary strongly summable then it is Δ^s - lacunary statistically convergent.

Proof. Suppose
$$x = (x_k)$$
 is strongly Δ^s -lacunary strongly summable to X_0 . Then

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{1}{h_p} \sum_{k \in I_p} d\left(\Delta^s \overline{x}_k, \overline{x}_0\right) = 0.$$

Now the result follows from the following inequality:

$$\sum_{k \in I_p} d\left(\Delta^s \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right) \ge \varepsilon \operatorname{card}\left\{k \le n : d\left(\Delta^s \bar{x}_k, \bar{x}_0\right) \ge \varepsilon\right\}$$

$$\bar{x} = (\bar{x})$$

Theorem 2.3. If a sequence $x = (x_k)$ of interval numbers is Δ^s -bounded and Δ^s - statistically convergent, then it is Δ^s - Cesàro strongly summable.

Proof. Suppose $\overline{x} = (\overline{x_k})_{is} \Delta^s$ -bounded and Δ^s - statistically convergent to $\overline{x_0}$. Since $\overline{x} = (\overline{x_k})_{is} \Delta^s$ -bounded, we can find a interval number *M* such that

$$d(\Delta^{s} x_{k}, x_{0}) \leq M \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

Again since $\overline{x} = (\overline{x_{k}})_{\text{ is }} \Delta^{s}$ - statistically convergent to \overline{x}_{0} , for every $\varepsilon > 0$
$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{card} \left\{ k \leq n : d(\Delta^{s} \overline{x_{k}}, \overline{x_{0}}) \geq \varepsilon \right\} = 0,$$

Now the result follows from the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1\leq k\leq n}d\left(\Delta^{s}\bar{x}_{k},\bar{x}_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1\leq k\leq n\atop d\left(\Delta^{s}_{(v,r)}\bar{x}_{k},\bar{x}_{0}\right)\geq\varepsilon}d\left(\Delta^{s}\bar{x}_{k},\bar{x}_{0}\right)\frac{1}{n}\sum_{1\leq k\leq n\atop d\left(\Delta^{s}\bar{x}_{k},\bar{x}_{0}\right)<\varepsilon}d\left(\Delta^{s}\bar{x}_{k},\bar{x}_{0}\right)$$
$$=\frac{M}{s}\operatorname{card}\left\{k\leq n:d\left(\Delta^{s}\bar{x}_{k},\bar{x}_{0}\right)\geq\varepsilon\right\}+\varepsilon$$

Theorem 2.4. Let θ be a lacunary sequence. Then if a sequence $x = (x_k)_{is} \Delta^s$ -bounded and Δ^s - lacunary statistically convergent, then it is Δ^s - lacunary strongly summable.

Proof. Proof follows by similar arguments as applied to prove above Theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Let θ be a lacunary sequence and $\overline{x} = (\overline{x_k})_{be} \Delta^s$ -bounded. Then X is Δ^s - lacunary statistically convergent

if and only if it is Δ^s - lacunary strongly summable. **Proof.** Proof follows by combining the Theorems 2.1 and 2.3.

Theorem 2.6. If a sequence $\overline{x} = (\overline{x_k})_{is} \Delta^s$ - statistically convergent and $\liminf_p \left(\frac{h_p}{p}\right) > 0$ then it is Δ^s -lacunary statistically convergent.

Proof. Assume the given conditions. For a given ε > 0, we have

$$\left\{k \in I_p : d(\Delta^s \overline{x}_k, \overline{x}_0) \ge \varepsilon\right\} \subset \left\{k \le n : d(\Delta^s \overline{x}_k, \overline{x}_0) \ge \varepsilon\right\}$$

Hence the proof follows from the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{p}\operatorname{card}\left\{k \le p : d(\Delta^{s} \overline{x}_{k}, \overline{x}_{0}) \ge \varepsilon\right\} \ge \frac{1}{p}\operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{p} : d(\Delta^{s} \overline{x}_{k}, \overline{x}_{0}) \ge \varepsilon\right\}$$
$$= \frac{h_{p}}{p} \frac{1}{h_{p}}\operatorname{card}\left\{k \in I_{p} : d(\Delta^{s} \overline{x}_{k}, \overline{x}_{0}) \ge \varepsilon\right\}$$

References

- P.S Dwyer, Linear Computation, New York, Wiley(1951).
- [2] M.Et. and R. Colak, On generalized difference sequence spaces, Soochow Jour.Math. 21 (1995) 377-386.
- [3] H Fast , Sur la convergence statistique, Colloq. Math. (1951) 241-244.
- [4] J.A Fridy. and C Orhan, Statistical limit superior and limit inferior, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125(12) (1997) 3625-3631.
- [5] A.R Freedman, J.JSember. and M Raphael, Some Cesàro-type summability spaces, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 37(3) (1978) 508-520.
- [6] H Kizma, On certain sequence spaces, Canad. Math. Bull. 24(2) (1981) 168-176.
- [7] M Matloka, Sequences of fuzzy numbers, BUSEFAL 28 (1986) 28-37.
- [8] I.J Maddox, A Tauberian condition for statistical convergence, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 106 (1989) 277-280.
- [9] A Esi,Lacunary sequence space of interval numbers. Thai Journal of Mathematics, 10(2012): 445-451.

- [10] R.E Moore, Automatic error analysis in digital computation, LSMD-48421, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company (1959).
- [11] D Rath and B.C Tripathy, Matrix maps on sequence spaces associated with sets of integers, Indian J. Pure & Appl. Math. 27(2) (1996) 197-206.
- [12] I.J Schoenberg, The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods, Amer. Math. Monthly 66(1959) 3621-4375.
- [13] R.E Moore and C. T Yang, Interval Analysis-1, LSMD-285875, Lockheed Missiles and Space Company (1962).
- [14] R.E Moore and C. T Yang, Theory of an interval algebra and its applications to numeric analysis, RAAG Memories-II, GaukutsuBunkenFukeyukai(1958)
- [15] S Markov, Quisilinear spaces and their relation to vector space. Electronic Journal on Mathematics of Computation 2(2005).
- [16] B.C Tripathy and A Esi, A new type of difference sequence spaces, Int. Jour. of Sci. & Tech. 1 (2006) 11-14.

142

- [17] B.C Tripathy and A Esi and B. K Tripathy, On a new type of generalized difference Cesàro Sequence spaces, Soochow J. Math. 31(3) (2005) 333-340.
- [18] M Sengonul. and A Eryimaz., On the sequence space of interval numbers, Thai Journal of Mathematics, 8(2010): 503-510.
- [19] Mursaleen, λ Statistical Convergence, Math. Slovaca,50(2000):111-115.
- [20] Kou-Ping Chiao, Fundemental properties of interval vector max-norm, TamsuiOxford Journal of Mathematics, 18(2002): 219-223.